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#### Abstract

Operations Research has three major methods called Mathematical Programming Techniques, Stochastic Process Techniques and Statistical Methods. Mathematical Programming plays a vital role among them. This programming has too many branches. Stochastic Programming is one of these branches. Non-linear programming algorithms are classified into two algorithms. They are unconstrained and constrained nonlinear algorithms. In general, there is no algorithm for handling nonlinear models, mainly because of the irregular behaviour of the nonlinear functions. Perhaps the most general result applicable to the problem is the Kuhn Tucker conditions. In constrained nonlinear algorithms, stochastic programming techniques solve the non-linear problem by dealing with one or more linear problems that are extracted from the original program. This paper deals with basic concepts in stochastic linear programming. There are two techniques viz. two stage programming and chance constrained programming with an example which is solved in a computer in the Pascal Language.
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## 1 Introduction

stochastic or Probabilistic programming deals with situations where some or all of the parameters of the optimization problem are described by stochastic or random or probabilistic variables rather than by deterministic quantities. The sources of random variables may be several, depending on the nature and the type of the problem.

Depending on the nature of equations involved in terms of random variables in the problem a stochastic optimi-zation-problem is called a stochastic linear or dynamic or nonlinear programming problem.

The basic idea used in solving any stochastic programming problem is to convert the stochastic problem into an equivalent deterministic problem. The resulting deterministic problem is then solved by using the familiar techniques like linear geometric, dynamic and non-linear programming.

## STOCHASTIC LINEAR PROGRAMMING

A stochastic linear programming problem can be stated as follows:
$\operatorname{Mini} f(x)=C^{T} X=\sum_{j=1}^{n^{2}} C j X j$
subject to

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{i}^{T} X=\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j} x_{j} \geq b_{i} \quad i=1,2, \ldots . m \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]and
$x_{i} \geq 0 \quad j=1,2, \ldots . . n$
... (1.3)
where $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{j}}, \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ and bi are random variables and $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}$ are assumed to be deterministic with known probability distributions. Several methods are available for solving the problem stated in (1.1) (1.3). Here we are dealing with only two methods namely the two stage programming technique and the chance constrained programming technique.

Before dealing we shall see some examples for stochastic programming problems and shall examine the difficulties involved in their solution.

## SEQUENTIAL STOCHASTIC PROGRAMMING PROBLEM

Consider the inventory problem in which plans are being made to control the inventory of single item over the time period of $n$ years. It is assumed that the orders to replenish the inventory are placed at the beginning of each year, so that the quantity required comes into inventory before the end of that year. The demand in every year is treated as continuous random variable and also the demands in different years are assumed to be independent variables. If $x_{j}$ denotes the number of units being ordered, then the cost of procuring $x_{j}$ units at the beginning of $j^{\text {th }}$ year will be,

$$
\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{j}} \delta_{\mathrm{j}}+\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}
$$

Where $\delta j=\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & \text { for } x_{j}=0 \\ 1 & \text { for } x_{j}>0\end{array}\right.$ and
$\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{j}}$ is fixe charge.
There costs associated with the inventory problem are the carrying cost and the stock out cost. Ignoring the accuracy of these we assume that $k_{j} h_{j}$ and $\pi_{j} \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{j}}$ are the carrying cost and stock-out cost, respectively for the $j^{\text {th }}$ year. Here $h_{j} \geq 0$ denotes the inventory in hand at the end of $\mathrm{j}^{\text {th }}$ year and $\mathrm{sij}_{\mathrm{j}} \geq 0$ denotes
the number of back orders at the end of $j^{\text {th }}$ year. Let us define,
$\mathrm{Y}_{1}=$ Inventory in hand at the beginning of $1^{\text {st }}$ year
$\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ Demand in the $\mathrm{ith}^{\text {th }}$ year
$\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ Quantity order in the $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ year
Using these notations, the inventory in hand at the end of $j^{\text {th }}$ year is given by

$$
h_{j}=Y_{1}+\sum_{i=1}^{j} x_{i} \sum_{i=1}^{j} v_{i} \quad \text { if } h_{j} \geq 0
$$

The number of backorder at the end of jht year will be given by

$$
\mathrm{Sj}=\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}-\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{y}_{1 \mathrm{i}} \quad \text { if } \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{j}}>0
$$

It is to be noted that one of the carrying cost and stockout cost disappear in the presence of the other. So let us define a new function,

$$
F_{j}\left(y_{1}+\sum_{i=1}^{j} x_{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{j} v_{i}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
k_{j} h_{j}, & \text { for } h_{j} \geq 0 \\
\pi_{j} s_{j}, & \text { for } s_{j}>0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then the cost of operating the inventory system for the $n$ years time period will be
$\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j}\left[A_{j} \delta_{j}+c_{j} x_{j}\right]+\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} F_{j}\left(y_{1}+\sum_{i=1}^{j} x_{i}-\sum_{i=1}^{j} v_{i}\right)$
Where $\alpha_{i}$ is the discounting factor. If $\alpha_{i}=1$, then there will be no discounting factor. The probability density function for getting a specific set of $v_{j}$ is

Thus for any given set of $x_{j}>0$, the expected cost over $n$ years time period is given by,
$Z=\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \bullet \bullet \int_{0}^{\infty}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} \theta\left(v_{j}\right)\right]\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j}\left[A_{j} \delta_{j}+c_{j} x_{j}\right]+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \delta_{j} F_{j}\left(y_{1}+\sum_{i=1}^{j}\left[x_{p}-\sum_{i=1}^{j} v_{i}\right)\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}\cdot \\ y_{m}\end{array}\right]\right.$
dv1...dvn
When the decision is made on how much to order for period K , the optimal value of $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}$ will depend upon $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{k}}$. Using the technique of dynamic programming we find out the functions $x^{k}\left(y_{k}\right)$, where the value of $y_{k}$, is given by

$$
\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{y}_{1}+\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{k}-1} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}-\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{k}-1} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}
$$

## TWO STAGE PROGRAMMING TECHNIQUE INTRODUCTION

The two-stage programming technique converts a stochastic linear programming problem into an equivalent deterministic problem. Assume that the elements $b_{i}$ are probabilistic. This means that the variable $b_{i}$ is not known but its probability distribution function with a finite mean $b_{i}$ is known to us. In this case it is impossible to find a vector X in
such a way that $A_{i}^{T} X$ will be greater than or equal to $b_{i}(i=$ $1,2, \ldots . \mathrm{m}$ ) for whatever value bi takes. The difference between $A_{i}^{T} X$ and $b_{i}$ a random variable, whose probability distribution function depends on the value of $X$ chosen.

One can now think of associating a penalty for violation we might get for the constraints. In this case, we can think of minimizing the sum of $\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{X}$ and the expected value of the penalty. There are several choices for the penalty. One choice is to assume a constant penalty cost of $p_{i}$ for violating the $i^{\text {th }}$ constraint by one unit. Thus the total penalty is given by the expected (mean) value of the sum of the individual penalties, $\sum_{i=1}^{m} E\left(p_{i} y_{i}\right)$ where $E$ is the expectation and $y_{i}$ is defined as,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{i}=b_{i}-A_{i}^{T} X, y_{i} \geq 0 \quad I=1,2, \ldots \ldots . m \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

After adding the mean total penalty cost to the original objective function, the new optimization problem becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Minimize } \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{X}+\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{Y}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

subject to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{AX}+\mathrm{BY}=\mathrm{b} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
X \geq 0, Y \geq 0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where
and $B=I=$ Identity matrix of order $m$.
The penalty term in Eqn. (2.2) will be a deterministic quantity in terms of the expected values of $y_{i}, \bar{y}_{i}$. For eg. if $b_{i}$ follows uniform (rectangular) distribution in the range $\left[\bar{b}_{i}-m_{i}, \bar{b}_{i}+m_{i}\right]$ and $\bar{y}_{i}$ denotes $\bar{b}_{i}-A_{i}^{T} X$, then the mean penalty cost can be shown to be equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(p_{i y} y_{i}\right)=p_{i 1}+p_{i 2}+p_{i 3} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}=0 & \text { if } \overline{\mathrm{y}}_{\mathrm{i}} \geq \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}} \\
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i} 2}=0 & \int_{\mathrm{s}=0}^{\left(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}}-\bar{y}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)} \frac{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}}{2 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{i}}} \text { sds } \tag{i}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{i 3}=0 \int_{s=\left(-m_{i}-\bar{y}_{i}\right)}^{\left(m_{i}-\bar{y}_{i}\right)} \frac{p_{i}}{2 m_{i}} \text { sds } \quad \text { if } \bar{y}_{i}<m_{i} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.7)

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{i}=\frac{p_{i}}{2 m_{i}} & \frac{s^{2}}{2} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
m_{i}-\bar{y}_{i} \\
s=0
\end{array}\right. \\
& =\frac{p_{i}}{4 m_{i}}\left(m_{i}-\bar{y}_{i}\right)^{2} \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

From (2.8)

$$
\begin{gather*}
P_{i 3}=\frac{p_{i}}{2 m_{i}} \frac{s^{2}}{2} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
\left(m_{i}-\bar{y}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \\
\mathrm{s}=\left(-m_{\mathrm{i}}-\bar{y}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)
\end{array}\right. \\
=\frac{\mathrm{pi}}{4 \mathrm{mi}}\left[\left(\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{i}}-\bar{y}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)^{2}-\left(-\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}}-\bar{y}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)^{2}\right] \\
=\frac{\mathrm{pi}}{4 \mathrm{mi}}\left[\left(\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{i}}-\overline{\mathrm{y}}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}}-\overline{\mathrm{y}}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\left(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}}-\overline{\mathrm{y}}_{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}}+\overline{\mathrm{y}}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\right] \\
=\frac{\mathrm{pi}}{4 \mathrm{mi}}\left(-4 \overline{\mathrm{y}}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \\
=-\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}} \overline{\mathrm{y}}_{\mathrm{i}} \tag{2.10}
\end{gather*}
$$

Using (2.6), (2.6) and (2.10) in (2.5) we have,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{pi}}{4 \mathrm{mi}}\left(\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{i}}-\overline{\mathrm{y}}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)^{2}-\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}} \overline{\mathrm{y}}_{\mathrm{i}} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Which is a quadratic function of deterministic variable $\bar{y}_{i}$. To convert the problem stated in equations (2.1) to (2.4) to a fully deterministic one, the probability constraints eqn. (2.3) have to be written either in a deterministic form like $\bar{y}_{i}=\bar{b}_{i}$ $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{X}$, or interpreted as a two-stage problem as follows.

## FIRST STAGE

First estimate the vector $b$, and find the vector $X$ by solving the problem stated in eqns. (1.1) to (1.3).

## SECOND STAGE

Then observe the value of $b$ and hence its discrepancy from the previous guess vector and find the vector $y=Y(b, X)$ by solving the second stage problem:

Find $Y$ which minimize $P^{T} Y$
Subject to
$y_{i}=b_{i}-A_{i}^{T} X, I=1,2, \ldots . m$
and $y_{i} \geq 0, \mathrm{I}=1,2 \ldots \mathrm{~m}$
where $b_{i}$ and $X$ are known now.

Thus the two-stage formulation can be interpreted to mean that a non-negative vector $X$ must be found before the actual values of $b_{i}(i=1,2 \ldots . . m)$ are known, and that when they are known, a recourse Y must be found by solving the second stage problem of Equations (2.12). Hence a general two stage problem can be stated as follows:
Minimize $C^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{X}+\mathrm{E}\left[\min \left(\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{Y}\right)\right]$
subject to

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathrm{A} & \mathrm{X} & + & \mathrm{B} & \mathrm{Y} \\
\operatorname{mxn}_{1} & \mathrm{n}_{1} \times 1
\end{array}
$$

Where $b$ is a random m-dimensional vector with known probability distribution $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{b})$ and probability density function $\mathrm{dF}(\mathrm{b})=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{b})$
The following assumptions are generally made to solve this problem.
(i) The penalty cost vector P is a known deterministic vector and
(ii) There exists a nonempty convex set S consisting of
non-negative solution vectors $X$ such that for each $b$ there exists a solution vector $Y(b)$ so that the pair $[X, Y(b)]$ is feasible. The $2^{\text {nd }}$ assumption is called the
Assumption of permanent feasibility. By defining,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{mx}\binom{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{n}_{1}+\mathrm{n}_{2}}= {[\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{~B}] } \\
& \ldots(2.14  \tag{2.14}\\
&\binom{\mathrm{Q}}{\mathrm{n}_{1}+\mathrm{n}} \times 1=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{C} \\
\mathrm{P}
\end{array}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\binom{\mathrm{Z}(\mathrm{~b})}{\mathrm{n}_{1}+\mathrm{n}_{2}} \times 1=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{x}  \tag{2.16}\\
\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{~b})
\end{array}\right]
$$

The two stage problem stated in equation (2.13) can be as follows:
Minimize $\quad \int Q^{T} Z(b) f(b)=$ expected cost
Subject to $\quad \mathrm{D} \mathrm{z}(\mathrm{b}) \geq \mathrm{b}$

$$
\text { And } \mathrm{z}(\mathrm{~b}) \geq 0 \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{~b}
$$

Example: Find the optimal values of factory production ( $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ ) excess supply ( $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ ) and the amount purchased from outside ( $\mathrm{x}_{3}$ ) of commodity, for which the market demand (r) is a uniformly distributed r.v. with a density function of $f(r)=\frac{1}{(80-70)}$.
Each unit produced in the factory costs Rs. 1 whereas each unit purchased from outside costs Rs.2. The constraints are
that
(i) The total supply of the commodity $\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}+\mathrm{x}_{3}\right)$ should not be less than the demand (r) and
(ii) Due to storage space and other restrictions, the amount of production in the factory ( $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ ) plus the amount stored ( $\mathrm{x}_{4}$ ) should be equal to 100 units.

## Solution:

This problem can be stated as follows:
Minimize $\mathrm{f}=\mathrm{x}_{1}+2 . \mathrm{x}_{3}$

$$
=\text { cost of production }+ \text { cost of purchasing out- }
$$

side.
Subject to

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& x_{1}+x_{4}=100 \\
\text { and } & x_{1}+x_{3}-x_{2}=r \\
\text { where } & x_{i}>0, i=1,2,3,4 \\
& \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{r})=\frac{1}{(80-70)}=\frac{1}{10}
\end{array}
$$

since the second constraint is probabilistic, we assume permanent feasibility condition for it. This means that it is possible to choose $x_{3}$, outside purchase and $x_{2}$, excess supply for whatever may be the feasible values of $x_{1}$, factory production and $x_{4}$, amount stored.

It can be seen that if $x_{1}>r$ for any particular value of $r$, then $x_{3}=0$ gives the minimum value of $f$. However, if $x_{1} \leq r$ then $x_{3}=r-x_{1}$ gives the minimum values of $f$ since $x 1$ is cheaper than $\times 3$. Thus we obtain

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\min \text { imum } \\
\left(x_{3}\right) & f=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
x_{1} \\
x_{1} & \text { if } \mathrm{x}_{1}>\mathrm{r} \\
& \text { if } \mathrm{x}_{1} \leq \mathrm{r}
\end{array}\right.
\end{array}
$$

Since the market demand is probabilistic, we have to consider the following three cases.

Case (i): When $x_{1}>80$ i.e., when $x_{1}>r$
$E($ minimum $f)=E\left(x_{1}\right)=x_{1}$
Case (ii): When $x_{1}<70$ i.e., whe $x_{1}<r$
$\mathrm{E}($ minimum f$)=\mathrm{E}\left[\mathrm{x}_{1}+2\left(\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{x}_{1}\right)\right]$
$=\int_{70}^{80}\left(x_{1}+2 r-2 x_{1}\right) f(r) d r=\int_{7}^{80}\left(\frac{2 r-x_{1}}{10}\right) d r$
$=\left.\frac{r^{2}-\mathrm{rx}_{1}}{10}\right|_{70} ^{80} \begin{aligned} & 10\end{aligned} \frac{6400-80 \mathrm{x}_{1}-4900+70 \mathrm{x}_{1}}{10}$
$=\frac{1500-10 \mathrm{x}_{1}}{10}=150-\mathrm{x}_{1}$
Case (iii): When $70 \leq \mathrm{x}_{1} \leq 80$. Here the demand may be less than, equal to or greater than $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ :

E(minimum f)

$$
\int_{70}^{x_{1}} x_{1} f(r) d r+\int_{x_{1}}^{80}\left[x_{1}+2\left(r-x_{1}\right)\right] f(r) d r
$$

$$
=\frac{x_{1}^{r}}{10} \left\lvert\, x_{70}+\frac{1}{10}\left[x_{1} r+r^{2}-2 x_{1} r\right]_{x_{1}}^{80}\right.
$$

$$
=\frac{x^{2}}{10}-\frac{70 x_{1}}{10}+\frac{1}{10}\left[r^{2}-x r_{1}^{r}\right] \begin{aligned}
& 80 \\
& x_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\frac{x_{1}^{2}-70 x_{1}}{10}+\frac{1}{10}\left[6400-80 \times 1-x_{1}^{2}+x_{1}^{2}\right]
$$

$$
=\frac{x_{1}^{2}-70 x_{1}}{10}+\frac{1}{10}\left[6400-80 x_{1}\right]
$$

$$
=\frac{1}{10}\left[x_{1}^{2}-70 x_{1}+6400-80 x_{1}\right]
$$

$$
=\frac{1}{10}\left[x_{1}^{2}-150 x_{1}+6400\right]
$$

$$
=\frac{1}{10}\left[75-\mathrm{x}_{1}\right]^{2}+77.5
$$

Hence the total expected cost function is a quadratic function in $\mathrm{x}_{1}$.
Its minimum is given by,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{E}(\min \mathrm{f})=\frac{1}{10}\left[\mathrm{x}_{1}^{2}-150 \mathrm{x}_{1}+6400\right] \\
& \frac{\mathrm{dE}}{\mathrm{dx}}=\frac{1}{10}\left[2 \mathrm{x}_{1}-150\right] \\
& =\frac{\mathrm{x}_{1}}{5}-15 \\
& \frac{\mathrm{dE}}{\mathrm{dx}}=0
\end{aligned} \begin{array}{r}
=\frac{\mathrm{x}_{1}}{5}-15=0 \\
\quad \Rightarrow \frac{x_{1}}{5}=15 \\
\quad \Rightarrow x_{1}=75
\end{array}
$$

Hence this value satisfies the first constraint also we obtain the optimum solution as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}=E(r)=75, \quad x_{2}=0 \\
& x_{3}=r-75 \text { with } E\left(x_{3}\right)=0, \\
& x_{4}=25 .
\end{aligned}
$$

## NIQUE

An important class of stochastic programming problems is the chance-constrained problems. These problems were initially studied by A. Charnes and W.W. Cooper. In a stochastic programming problem some constraints may be deterministic and the remaining may involve random elements. On the other hand, in a chance-constrained programming problem, the latter set of constraints is not required to always hold, but these must hold simultaneously or individually with given probabilities. In other words, we are given a set of probability measure indicating the extent of violation of the random constraints. The general chance-constrained linear program is of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Minimize} f(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{j} x_{j} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Subject to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathrm{P}\left[\sum_{\mathrm{j}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{ai}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}} \leq \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{i}}\right] \geq \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{i}}\right] \geq \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}, \quad \mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots . \mathrm{m} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

And $\quad x_{j} \geq 0, \quad j=1,2 \ldots . . m$
Where $c_{j}, a_{i j}, b_{i}$ are random variables and $p_{i}$ are specified probabilities. Eqn. (3.2) indicate that the $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ constraint

$$
\sum_{\mathrm{j}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{ai}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}} \leq \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{i}}
$$

has to be satisfied with a probability of atleast $p_{i}$ where $0 \leq p_{i} \leq$ 1. Assume that the decision variables $x_{j}$ are deterministic. First we consider the special case where only $c_{j}$ or $a_{i j}$ or $b_{j}$ are random variables. After we consider the case in which $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{j}}, \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ and bi are all random variables. Further we shall assume that all the random variables are normally distributed with known mean and standard deviations.

## ONLY $a_{i j}$ 's ARE RANDOM VARIABLES

Let $\overline{\mathrm{a}}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ and $\operatorname{Var}\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right)=\sigma_{\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}}}^{2}$ be the mean and the variance of the normally distributed random variables $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}}$. Also assume that the multivariate distribution of $a_{i j}, i=1,2 \ldots m, j=$ $1,2 \ldots \mathrm{n}$ is also known along with the covariance, $\operatorname{Cov}\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}}, \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ between the random variables $a_{i j}$ and $a_{k l}$. Define quantities $d_{i}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}=\sum_{\mathrm{j}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{ai}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}} \quad \mathrm{i}=1,2 \ldots \mathrm{~m} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $a_{i 1}, a_{i 2}, \ldots . .$. ain are normally distributed, and $x_{1}, x_{2} \ldots . x_{n}$ are constants $d_{i}$ will also be normally distributed with the mean value of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathrm{d}}_{\mathrm{i}}=\sum_{\mathrm{j}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \overline{\mathrm{a}}_{\mathrm{ij}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}} \quad \mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots \mathrm{~m} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

And a variance of

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Var}\left(\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\sigma_{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}}^{2}=\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{T} \mathrm{VV}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{X}} \\
\ldots(3.6)
\end{gathered}
$$

Where $V_{i}$ is the $i^{\text {th }}$ covariance matrix defined as

The constraint or equation (3.2) can be expressed as $\mathrm{P}\left[\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}} \leq \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{i}}\right] \geq \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}$
i.e. $\quad P\left[\frac{d_{i}-\bar{d}_{i}}{\sqrt{\operatorname{var}\left(d_{i}\right)}} \leq \frac{b_{i}-\bar{d}_{i}}{\sqrt{\operatorname{var}\left(d_{i}\right)}}\right] \geq p_{i}$
$\mathrm{i}=$

1,2....m ...(3.8)
where $\frac{d_{i}-\bar{d}_{i}}{\sqrt{\operatorname{var}\left(d_{i}\right)}}$ can be a standard normal variate with a mean of zero and a variance of one. Thus the probability of $d_{i}$ smaller than or equal to $b_{i}$ can be

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{P}\left[\mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{i}} \leq \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}\right]=\phi\left(\frac{\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{i}}-\overline{\mathrm{d}}_{\mathrm{i}}}{\sqrt{\operatorname{var}\left(\mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)}}\right) \\
\ldots
\end{gathered}
$$

Where $\phi(x)$ represents the cumulative distribution function of the standard normal distribution evaluated at $x$. If $e_{i}$ denotes the value of the standard normal variable at which

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi\left(\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}} \\
& \ldots(3.10)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the constraints in Eqn. (3.8) can be stated as

$$
\begin{gathered}
\phi\left(\frac{\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{i}}-\overline{\mathrm{d}}_{\mathrm{i}}}{\sqrt{\operatorname{var}\left(\mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)}}\right) \geq \theta\left(\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \quad \mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots \mathrm{~m} \\
\ldots(3.11)
\end{gathered}
$$

These inequalities will be satisfied only if,

$$
\left(\frac{\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{i}}-\overline{\mathrm{d}}_{\mathrm{i}}}{\sqrt{\operatorname{var}\left(\mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)}}\right) \geq \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}
$$

(or)
$d_{i}+e_{i} \sqrt{\operatorname{var}\left(d_{i}\right)}-b_{i} \leq 0, \quad i=1,2, \ldots m$
... (3.12)
By substituting Eqn. (3.5) and 3.6) in 3.12)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=1}^{n} \bar{a}_{i j} x_{j}+e_{i} \sqrt{X^{T} V_{i} X}-b_{i} \leq 0 \quad i=1,2, \ldots . . m \\
& \ldots(3.13)
\end{aligned}
$$

These are the deterministic non-linear constraints equivalent to the original stochastic linear constraints.

Thus the solution of the stochastic programming problem stated in Eqns. (3.1) to (3.3) can be obtained by solving the equivalent deterministic programming problem.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Minimize } f(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{j} x_{j} \text { subject to } \\
& \sum_{j=1}^{n} \bar{a}_{i j} x_{j}+e_{i} \sqrt{X^{T} V_{i} X}-b_{i} \leq 0, \\
& 1,2, \ldots . m \ldots(3.14)  \tag{3.14}\\
& \text { and } x_{j}>0, j=1,2, \ldots . . n .
\end{align*}
$$

$1,2, \ldots . \mathrm{m}$

If the normally distributed random variables $a_{i j}$ are independent the covariance terms will be zero and equation (3.7) reduces to a diagonal matrix as

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\operatorname{Var}\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i} 1}\right) & 0 & 0  \tag{3.15}\\
0 & \operatorname{Var}\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i} 2}\right) & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \operatorname{Var}\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i} 3}\right)
\end{array}\right]
$$

In this case the constraints of Eqn. (3.13) reduce to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{j=1}^{n} \bar{a}_{i j} x_{j}+e_{i} \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left[\operatorname{Var}\left(a i_{j}\right) x_{j}^{2}\right]}-b_{i}<0 \\
& i=1,2, \ldots . m \tag{3.16}
\end{align*}
$$

## ONLY bi's ARE RANDOM VARIABLES:

Let $b_{i}$ and $\operatorname{var}\left(b_{i}\right)$ denote the mean and variance of the normally distributed random variable $b_{i}$. The constraints of equation (3.2) can be restated as

$$
\begin{gather*}
P\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} \bar{a}_{i j} x_{j} \leq b_{i}\right]= \\
{\left[\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j} x_{j}-\bar{b}_{i}}{\sqrt{\operatorname{var}\left(b_{i}\right)}} \leq \frac{b_{i}-\bar{b}_{i}}{\sqrt{\operatorname{var}\left(b_{i}\right)}}\right]} \\
=P\left[\frac{b_{i}-\bar{b}_{i}}{\sqrt{\operatorname{var}\left(b_{i}\right)}} \geq \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j} x_{j}-\bar{b}_{i}}{\sqrt{\operatorname{var}\left(b_{i}\right)}}\right] \geq p_{i} \\
1,2, \ldots m \tag{3.17}
\end{gather*}
$$

i =

Where $\left[\frac{\left(b_{i}-\bar{b}_{i}\right)}{\sqrt{\operatorname{var}\left(b_{i}\right)}}\right]$ is a standard normal variable with zero mean and unit variance. The inequalities (3.17) can also be stated as,

$$
1-P\left[\frac{b_{i}-\bar{b}_{i}}{\sqrt{\operatorname{var}\left(b_{i}\right)}} \leq \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j} x_{j}-\bar{b}_{i}}{\sqrt{\operatorname{var}\left(b_{i}\right)}}\right] \geq p_{i} \quad i=
$$

1,2,....m

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left[\frac{b_{i}-\bar{b}_{i}}{\sqrt{\operatorname{var}\left(b_{i}\right)}} \leq \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j} x_{j}-\bar{b}_{i}}{\sqrt{\operatorname{var}\left(b_{i}\right)}}\right] \leq 1-p_{i} \tag{or}
\end{equation*}
$$

1,2,...m
If $E_{i}$ represents the value of the standard normal variate at which $\Phi\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=1$ - $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}$.
The constraints in Eqn. (3.18) can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(\frac{\sum_{\mathrm{j}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}-\overline{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{i}}}{\sqrt{\operatorname{var}\left(\mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)}}\right) \leq \Phi\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \quad \mathrm{i}=1,2 ., \ldots . \mathrm{m} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

These inequalities will be satisfied only if

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\sum_{\mathrm{j}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}-\overline{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{i}}  \tag{3.20}\\
\sqrt{\operatorname{var}\left(\mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)} & \mathrm{Ei} \\
\text { (or) } & \mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots \mathrm{~m} \\
\sum_{\mathrm{j}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}-\bar{b}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}} \sqrt{\operatorname{var}\left(\mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)} \leq 0, & \mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots . \mathrm{m}
\end{array}
$$

Thus the stochastic linear programming problem stated in equations (3.1) to (3.3) is equivalent to the following deterministic linear programming problem.

Subject to $\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j} x_{j}-\bar{b}_{i}-E_{i} \sqrt{\operatorname{var}\left(b_{i}\right)} \leq 0, \quad i=1,2, \ldots m$
and

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{j}>0, & \mathrm{j}=1,2, \ldots \mathrm{~m} \\
& \ldots(3.21)
\end{aligned}
$$

## CONCLUSION

Stochastic programming techniques are useful whenever the parameters of the optimization problem are stochastic or random in nature. The basic idea used in all the stochastic optimization techniques is to convert the problem into an equivalent deterministic problem so that the techniques of linear can be applied to find the optimum solution. In stochastic programming problems, the two stage programming and the chance constrained programming techniques are presented for solving a stochastic linear programming problem. On the other hand the solution of stochastic nonlinear programming problems is considered using chance constrained programming technique only.
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